

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/654,172	09/03/2003	William D. Severa	WR0176	4324	
7	590 06/16/2004	EXAMINER			
Terence P. O'Brien			CHIU, RALEIGH W		
Wilson Sportin 8700 W. Bryn		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Chicago, IL 6		3711			
			DATE MAILED: 06/16/2004	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.	Applicant(s)					
			10/654,172	SEVERA ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit					
			Raleigh Chiu	3711					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appe	ars on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	Idress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice un	nder <i>Ex</i>	parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims									
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the applic	cation.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ithdrawr	n from consideration.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	6) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
·									
8)∟	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or e	election requirement.	• 1					
Applicati	on Papers				,				
· ·	The specification is objected to by the Exa								
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	the Exa	miner. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94		4) ☐ Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dal						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date			5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/654,172 Page 2

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. There are no claims 10 and 11. As such, claims 12-20 have been renumbered 10-18, respectively. Newly renumbered claims 10-12 and 14-18 are considered to depend from claim 9; newly renumbered claim 13 is considered to depend from claim 12.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-18 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-28 of U.S. Patent No. 6,663,516 in

Art Unit: 3711

view of U.S. Patent Application Publication Number 2003/0036447 (Niwa et al., hereinafter Niwa).

The claims of the '516 patent disclose the head portion separated from a handle portion by a shock and/or vibration absorbing material but the claims do not disclose the channel and rib. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include a channel and corresponding rib in view of Niwa in order to enhance the connection between the racquet parts. See Niwa at paragraph [0041] and Figure 2.

Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raleigh Chiu whose telephone number is (703) 308-2247. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Vidovich, can be reached on (703) 308-1513.

The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system,

Application/Control Number: 10/654,172

Art Unit: 3711

see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Raleigh W. Chiu Primary Examiner

Technology Center 3700

Page 4

RWC:dei:feif 09 June 2004